

KINEMATIC QUANTITIES AND RAYCHAUDHURI EQUATIONS IN A $5D$ UNIVERSE

AUREL BEJANCU

Department of Mathematics

Kuwait University

P.O.Box 5969, Safat 13060

Kuwait

E-mail:aurel.bejancu@ku.edu.kw

Abstract

Based on some ideas emerged from the classical Kaluza-Klein theory, we present a $5D$ universe as a product bundle over the $4D$ space-time. This enables us to introduce and study two categories of kinematic quantities (expansions, shear, vorticity) in a $5D$ universe. One category is related to the fourth dimension (time), and the other one comes from the assumption of the existence of the fifth dimension. The Raychaudhuri type equations that we obtain in the paper, lead us to results on the evolution of both the $4D$ expansion and $5D$ expansion in a $5D$ universe.

PACS number(s): 04.50.-h

1 Introduction

As it well known, the (1+3) threading of a $4D$ spacetime was developed in order to relate physics and geometry to the observations. This theory is based on the existence of a congruence of timelike curves and it was successfully applied to: the relativistic cosmology [1], the study of gravito-electromagnetism [2], the splitting of Einstein equations [3], and to some other physical theories.

In the present paper, we extend the above theory to a (1+1+3) threading of a $5D$ universe. As far as we know, there are two important five-dimensional gravity theories: the brane-world gravity [4], and the space-time-matter theory [5]. In the theory we develop in the present paper, the $4D$ spacetime M is the base manifold of a submersion on the $5D$ universe $\bar{M}$, while in the above mentioned theories, M is an embedded submanifold of $\bar{M}$. The new geometric configuration of $\bar{M}$ enables us to consider two orthogonal line bundles: the temporal distribution $\mathcal{T}\bar{M}$ which defines a congruence of timelike curves, and the vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ which is tangent to a congruence of spacelike curves. The spatial distribution $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$ is the complementary orthogonal distribution to $\mathcal{T}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ in the tangent bundle of $\bar{M}$. The kinematic quantities (expansion, shear, vorticity) in $\bar{M}$, are introduced as spatial tensor fields on $\bar{M}$, which roughly speaking, behave like tensor fields on a three-dimensional manifold. In order to define a covariant derivative of such tensor fields with respect to any vector field on $\bar{M}$, we introduce the Riemannian spatial connection, which is a metric linear connection on $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$. Finally, we obtain three Raychaudhuri type equations which enable us to study the evolution of the expansion in the $5D$ universe $\bar{M}$.

Now, we outline the content of the paper. In Section 2 we present the geometric structure of the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ (see (2.12)), and construct the adapted frame and coframe fields $\{\delta/\delta x^0, \delta/\delta x^\alpha, \partial/\partial x^4\}$ and $\{\delta x^0, dx^\alpha, \delta x^4\}$, respectively. Then, in Section 3 we consider the $4D$ velocity $\xi = \delta/\delta x^0$ and the $5D$ velocity $\eta = \partial/\partial x^4$, and obtain the expression of the line element of $\bar{g}$ with respect to the adapted coframe field (cf.(3.4)). The $4D$ and $5D$ kinematic quantities: expansion, shear and vorticity tensor fields are defined in Section 4. They are geometric objects on $\bar{M}$, whose local components with respect to adapted frame and coframe fields behave as tensor fields on a three-dimensional manifold. The Riemannian spatial connection, which we introduce in Section 5, has an important role into the study. Here, we show that the Levi-Civita connection of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is completely determined

by the kinematic quantities, the Riemannian spatial connection, and the spatial tensor fields we introduced in Section 4 (cf. (5.12)). In Section 6 we show that each spatial tensor field defines a tensor field of the same type on $\bar{M}$, and evaluate the covariant derivatives of both velocities (cf. (6.9) and (6.10)). In Section 7 we derive the Raychaudhuri equations with respect to the expansions Θ and K of the $5D$ universe (cf. (7.14), (7.16), (7.22)). In spite of the full generality approached in the paper, we can see that due to the spatial tensor fields and to the Riemannian spatial connection, the calculations can be easily handled. By using Raychaudhuri equations we state two results on the evolution of Θ and K in $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ (cf. Theorems 7.1, 7.2). Conclusions on the theory we develop in the paper are presented in Section 8.

2 Adapted Frames and Coframes in a 5D Universe

Let M and K be manifolds of dimension four and one respectively, and $\bar{M} = M \times K$ be the product bundle over M with fibre K . Then, a coordinate system (x^i) on M determines a coordinate system $(x^a) = (x^i, x^4)$ on $\bar{M}$, where x^4 is the fibre coordinate. A general Kaluza-Klein theory on $\bar{M}$ is developed with respect to the gauge group $U(1)$, and the coordinate transformations on $\bar{M}$ are given by

$$(a) \quad \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3); \quad (b) \quad \tilde{x}^4 = x^4 + \bar{f}(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3). \quad (2.1)$$

As a consequence of (2.1) we deduce that the natural frame fields $\partial/\partial x^a$ and $\partial/\partial \tilde{x}^a$ are related by

$$(a) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^k}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^k} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^4}, \quad (b) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^4}. \quad (2.2)$$

Throughout the paper we use the ranges of indices: $a, b, c, \dots \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $i, j, k, \dots \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Also, for any vector bundle E over $\bar{M}$ denote by $\Gamma(E)$ the $\mathcal{F}(\bar{M})$ -module of smooth sections of E , where $\mathcal{F}(\bar{M})$ is the algebra of smooth functions on $\bar{M}$.

From (2.2b) we see that there exists a globally defined vector field η on $\bar{M}$, which is given locally by $\partial/\partial x^4$. Then denote by $\mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ the line bundle over $\bar{M}$ spanned by η , and call it the *vertical distribution* on $\bar{M}$. Suppose that $\bar{M}$ is endowed with a Lorentz metric $\bar{g}$ such that

$$\bar{g}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}\right) = \Psi^2, \quad (2.3)$$

where Ψ is a non-zero function that is globally defined on $\bar{M}$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}\bar{M}$ the complementary orthogonal vector bundle to $\mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ in the tangent bundle $T\bar{M}$ of $\bar{M}$, and call it the *horizontal distribution* on $\bar{M}$. Hence we have the Whitney decomposition

$$T\bar{M} = \mathcal{H}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{V}\bar{M}. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, suppose that on M there exists a globally defined vector field U , which induces a special coordinate system (x^i) on M such that $U = \partial/\partial x^0$. Two such coordinate systems (x^i) and $(\tilde{x}^i)$ on M are related by

$$(a) \quad \tilde{x}^\alpha = \tilde{x}^\alpha(x^1, x^2, x^3); \quad (b) \quad \tilde{x}^0 = x^0 + f(x^1, x^2, x^3). \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, the coordinate transformations on $\bar{M}$ are given by (2.5) and (2.1b). Then, (2.2a) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} &= \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\gamma}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\gamma} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^0} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^4}, \\ (b) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^0} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial x^0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\{\partial/\partial x^i\}$ is the lift of the natural frame field on M to $\bar{M}$.

Next, suppose that the lift of $\partial/\partial x^0$ to $\bar{M}$ is timelike with respect to $\bar{g}$ and denote by $\delta/\delta x^0$ its projection on $\mathcal{H}\bar{M}$ with respect to (2.4). Thus there exists locally on $\bar{M}$ a unique function A_0 , such that

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - A_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}. \quad (2.7)$$

By direct calculations, using (2.7), (2.6b) and (2.2b), we deduce that

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{x}^0} + (\tilde{A}_0 + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial x^0} - A_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^4}.$$

Hence

$$(a) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{x}^0}, \quad (b) \quad A_0 = \tilde{A}_0 + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial x^0}, \quad (2.8)$$

with respect to the coordinate transformations on $\bar{M}$. From (2.8a) we conclude that there exists a globally defined horizontal vector field ξ on $\bar{M}$, which is locally given by $\delta/\delta x^0$. Moreover, ξ is a timelike vector field on $\bar{M}$. To show this, we consider the line element of $\bar{g}$ given by

$$(a) \quad d\bar{s}^2 = \bar{g}_{ab} dx^a dx^b, \quad (b) \quad \bar{g}_{ab} = g \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

Then, taking into account that ξ is orthogonal to η , and using (2.7), (2.9b) and (2.3), we obtain

$$A_0 = \Psi^{-2} \bar{g}_{04}. \quad (2.10)$$

By similar calculations we infer that

$$\bar{g} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} \right) = \bar{g}_{00} - (A_0 \Psi)^2.$$

As $\bar{g}_{00} < 0$, there exists a globally defined non-zero function Φ on $\bar{M}$ such that

$$\bar{g}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}) = -\Phi^2, \quad (2.11)$$

and therefore ξ is timelike. Denote by $\mathcal{T}\bar{M}$ the line bundle spanned by ξ and call it the *temporal distribution* on $\bar{M}$. Thus the decomposition from (2.4) admits the refinement

$$T\bar{M} = \mathcal{T}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{S}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{V}\bar{M}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$ is the complementary orthogonal bundle to $\mathcal{T}\bar{M}$ in $\mathcal{H}\bar{M}$. As $\bar{g}$ is a Lorentz metric, and $\mathcal{T}\bar{M}$ is a timelike vector bundle, we conclude that $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$ is spacelike. Thus, we are entitled to call $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$ the *spatial distribution* on $\bar{M}$.

Next, consider $\{\partial/\partial x^\alpha\}, \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, as vector fields locally defined on $\bar{M}$ and denote by $\{\delta/\delta x^\alpha\}$ their projections on $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$ with respect to the decomposition (2.12). Thus, we have

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} - B_\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} - A_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \quad (2.13)$$

where A_α and B_α are locally defined functions on $\bar{M}$. By direct calculations, using (2.6a), (2.8a), (2.2b) and (2.7) into (2.13), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\gamma}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^\gamma} + \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\gamma}{\partial x^\alpha} \tilde{B}_\gamma + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha} - B_\alpha \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^0} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\gamma}{\partial x^\alpha} \tilde{A}_\gamma + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha} \tilde{A}_0 + \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x^\alpha} - A_\alpha \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^4}, \end{aligned}$$

which via (2.12) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\gamma}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^\gamma}, \quad (b) \quad B_\alpha = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\gamma}{\partial x^\alpha} \tilde{B}_\gamma + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha}, \\ (c) \quad A_\alpha &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\gamma}{\partial x^\alpha} \tilde{A}_\gamma + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha} \tilde{A}_0 + \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x^\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

By the above geometric construction, we introduce into the study the orthogonal frame field $\{\delta/\delta x^0, \delta/\delta x^\alpha, \partial/\partial x^4\}$, which we call *adapted frame field* on $\bar{M}$. Its dual frame field $\{\delta x^0, dx^\alpha, \delta x^4\}$, where we put

$$(a) \quad \delta x^0 = dx^0 + B_\alpha dx^\alpha, \quad (b) \quad \delta x^4 = dx^4 + A_i dx^i, \quad (2.15)$$

is called an *adapted coframe field* on $\bar{M}$.

The pair $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ with the geometric configuration described in this section is called a *5D universe*, and is going to be the main object studied in the present paper. It is important to note that the 5D universe that we introduce

in this paper is different from the ones considered in the well known theories: brane-world theory [4] and space-time-matter theory [5]. This is because in the present theory the $4D$ spacetime is the base manifold of a submersion defined on the $5D$ universe, while in the above theories the $4D$ spacetime is considered embedded in the $5D$ universe.

3 $4D$ and $5D$ Velocities in a $5D$ Universe

Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be a $5D$ universe with the line element given by (2.9). From the previous section we conclude that $\bar{M}$ admits a double threading by two orthogonal congruences of curves. These congruences are defined by the timelike vector field ξ and by the spacelike vector field η , which we call the $4D$ velocity and $5D$ velocity, respectively. The name $4D$ velocity for ξ is justified by the fact that its integral curves are tangent on their entire length to the horizontal distribution, whose fibres are four-dimensional. On the contrary, the integral curves of η are orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}\bar{M}$, and therefore they are intimately related to the fifth dimension. Moreover, by using (2.7), we obtain

$$(a) \quad \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} \right] = a_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \quad (b) \quad a_0 = \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x^4}. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, the distribution $\mathcal{T}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ is an integrable distribution, and therefore, the $5D$ universe admits also a foliation by surfaces, whose transversal bundle ([6], p.7) is the spatial distribution $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$. Next, denote by h the Riemannian metric induced by $\bar{g}$ on $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$, and put

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = h \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right) = \bar{g} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, by using (2.9b), (2.13), (3.2), (2.11) and (2.3), we deduce that

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \Phi^2 B_\alpha B_\beta - \Psi^2 A_\alpha A_\beta. \quad (3.3)$$

Due to (2.3), (2.11) and (3.3), the line element with respect to the adapted coframe field has the simple form

$$d\bar{s}^2 = -\Phi^2 (\delta x^0)^2 + h_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta + \Psi^2 (\delta x^4)^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, in order to find the covariant local components of the above velocities we consider the 1-forms ξ^* and η^* given by

$$(a) \quad \xi^*(X) = \bar{g}(X, \xi), \quad (b) \quad \eta^*(X) = \bar{g}(X, \eta), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}). \quad (3.5)$$

Then we put

$$(a) \quad \xi_a = \xi^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}\right), \quad (b) \quad \eta_a = \eta^*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}\right), \quad a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad (3.6)$$

and by using (3.6), (3.5), (2.7), (2.11), (2.13) and (2.3), we obtain

$$(a) \quad \xi_0 = -\Phi^2, \quad (b) \quad \xi_\alpha = -\Phi^2 B_\alpha, \quad (c) \quad \xi_4 = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$(a) \quad \eta_i = \Psi^2 A_i, \quad (b) \quad \eta_4 = \Psi^2, \quad \forall i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}. \quad (3.8)$$

By using (3.8a) and (3.7b) into (2.7) and (2.13), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - \Psi^{-2} \eta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\ (b) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \Phi^{-2} \xi_\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} - \Psi^{-2} \eta_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Similarly, (2.15) becomes

$$(a) \quad \delta x^0 = dx^0 - \Phi^{-2} \xi_\alpha dx^\alpha, \quad (b) \quad \delta x^4 = dx^4 + \Psi^{-2} \eta_i dx^i. \quad (3.10)$$

In what follows, we also call $\xi^* = (\xi_a)$ and $\eta^* = (\eta_a)$ the *4D velocity* and *5D velocity* in $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, respectively.

4 Spatial Tensor Fields and Kinematic Quantities in a 5D Universe

In this section we introduce spatial tensor fields in $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ as geometric objects whose local components behave as the ones of tensor fields on a three-dimensional manifold. In particular, we define the expansion, shear and vorticity tensor fields as spatial tensor fields.

First, by using (2.14a) into (3.2), we deduce that the local components of the Riemannian metric h on $\mathcal{SM}$ satisfy

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}{\partial x^\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (4.1)$$

with respect to the coordinate transformations on $\bar{M}$. Also, the entries of the inverse of the 3×3 matrix $[h_{\alpha\beta}]$ satisfy

$$\tilde{h}^{\mu\nu} = h^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}{\partial x^\beta}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and $h^{\alpha\beta}$ are locally functions on the five-dimensional manifold $\bar{M}$, but they are transformed as the local components of some tensor fields of type $(0, 2)$ and $(2, 0)$ on a three-dimensional manifold. This leads us to an important category of geometric objects on $\bar{M}$. Namely, we say that the functions $T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q}^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p}(x^a)$ define a spatial tensor field of type (p, q) in the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, if they satisfy

$$T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q}^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu_1}}{\partial x^{\gamma_1}} \dots \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu_p}}{\partial x^{\gamma_p}} = \tilde{T}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_q}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\nu_1}}{\partial x^{\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^{\nu_q}}{\partial x^{\alpha_q}}, \quad (4.3)$$

with respect to the coordinate transformations on $\bar{M}$. By using (4.1) and (4.2), we see that $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and $h^{\alpha\beta}$ define spatial tensor fields of types $(0, 2)$ and $(2, 0)$, respectively.

Next, by direct calculations using (2.7) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} \right] = b_\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + a_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\ (b) \quad & \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} \right] = d_\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + c_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\ (c) \quad & \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right] = 2\omega_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + 2\eta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & a_\alpha = \frac{\delta A_\alpha}{\delta x^0} - \frac{\delta A_0}{\delta x^\alpha} - B_\alpha \frac{\delta A_0}{\delta x^0}, \quad (b) \quad b_\alpha = \frac{\delta B_\alpha}{\delta x^0}, \\ (c) \quad & c_\alpha = \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial x^4} - B_\alpha \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x^4}, \quad (d) \quad d_\alpha = \frac{\partial B_\alpha}{\partial x^4}, \\ (e) \quad & \omega_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\delta B_\beta}{\delta x^\alpha} - \frac{\delta B_\alpha}{\delta x^\beta} \right\}, \\ (f) \quad & \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\delta A_\beta}{\delta x^\alpha} - \frac{\delta A_\alpha}{\delta x^\beta} + B_\alpha \frac{\delta A_0}{\delta x^\beta} - B_\beta \frac{\delta A_0}{\delta x^\alpha} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By using (2.2b), (2.8a) and (2.14a) into (4.4), it is easy to check that $a_\alpha, b_\alpha, c_\alpha, d_\alpha$ define spatial tensor fields of type $(0, 1)$, while $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ define skew-symmetric spatial tensor fields of type $(0, 2)$. Moreover, from (4.4c) we deduce that *the spatial distribution is integrable, if and only if,*

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, extending the terminology from (1+3) threading of a $4D$ spacetime ([1], p.81), we call $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ the $4D$ *vorticity tensor field* and the $5D$ *vorticity tensor field*, respectively. The prefix $4D$ is placed in front of vorticity to emphasize that this object comes from the structure of the $4D$ spacetime M . On the contrary, the $5D$ vorticity is determined by the existence of the fifth dimension. Throughout the paper, we use this rule for some other geometric objects.

Now, we define the spatial tensor fields of type (0,1):

$$(a) \quad \phi_\alpha = \Phi^{-1} \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^\alpha}, \quad (b) \quad \psi_\alpha = \Psi^{-1} \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta x^\alpha}, \quad (4.7)$$

and by using (3.7b) and (3.8a) into (4.5e) and (4.5f), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \omega_{\alpha\beta} &= \Phi^{-2} \left\{ \phi_\alpha \xi_\beta - \phi_\beta \xi_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \xi_\alpha}{\delta x^\beta} - \frac{\delta \xi_\beta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right) \right\}, \\ (b) \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta} &= \Psi^{-2} \left\{ \eta_\alpha \psi_\beta - \eta_\beta \psi_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \eta_\beta}{\delta x^\alpha} - \frac{\delta \eta_\alpha}{\delta x^\beta} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi^{-2} \eta_0 (\xi_\alpha \psi_\beta - \xi_\beta \psi_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{-2} \left(\xi_\beta \frac{\delta \eta_0}{\delta x^\alpha} - \xi_\alpha \frac{\delta \eta_0}{\delta x^\beta} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Next, we denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the Lie derivative on $\bar{M}$ and define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \Theta_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}} \bar{g} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right), \\ (b) \quad K_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}} \bar{g} \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Then, by using (3.2), (4.4a) and (4.4b) into (4.9), we obtain

$$(a) \quad \Theta_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta h_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta x^0}, \quad (b) \quad K_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^4}. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, applying $\delta/\delta x^0$ and $\partial/\partial x^4$ to (4.1), and taking into account (2.5a), (2.8a) and (2.2b), we deduce that $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $K_{\alpha\beta}$ define symmetric spatial tensor fields of type (0,2). We call $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $K_{\alpha\beta}$ the $4D$ *expansion tensor field* and $5D$ *expansion tensor field*, respectively. Taking the traces of these tensor fields, we obtain the $4D$ *expansion function* Θ and the $5D$ *expansion function* K , given by

$$(a) \quad \Theta = \Theta_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (b) \quad K = K_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.11)$$

Finally, we define the trace-free symmetric spatial tensor fields

$$(a) \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \Theta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta h_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (b) \quad H_{\alpha\beta} = K_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3}Kh_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.12)$$

Then, inspired by the terminology from the kinematic theory in a $4D$ space-time, we call $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ and $H_{\alpha\beta}$ the $4D$ *shear tensor field* and $5D$ *shear tensor field*, respectively.

As a conclusion of this section, we may say that $\{\omega_{\alpha\beta}, \Theta_{\alpha\beta}, \Theta, \sigma_{\alpha\beta}\}$ and $\{\eta_{\alpha\beta}, K_{\alpha\beta}, K, H_{\alpha\beta}\}$ are the $4D$ *kinematic quantities* and the $5D$ *kinematic quantities* in the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, with respect to the congruence of curves defined by ξ and η , respectively.

5 The Riemannian Spatial Connection in a $5D$ Universe

Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be a $5D$ universe, and $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}^*$ be the dual bundle of the spatial distribution $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$. Suppose that

$$T : \Gamma(\mathcal{S}\bar{M}^*)^p \times \Gamma(\mathcal{S}\bar{M})^q \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\bar{M}), \quad (5.1)$$

is a $(p+q) - \mathcal{F}(\bar{M})$ -multilinear mapping, and locally define the functions

$$T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q}^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p} = T(dx^{\gamma_1}, \dots, dx^{\gamma_p}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^{\alpha_q}}). \quad (5.2)$$

Then, it is easy to check that $T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q}^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p}$ satisfy (4.3), and therefore they define a spatial tensor field of type (p, q) . Conversely, suppose $T_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_q}^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_p}$ are functions satisfying (4.3). Then, T defined locally by (5.2) becomes an $\mathcal{F}(\bar{M})$ -multilinear mapping as in (5.1).

The purpose of this section is to define covariant derivatives of the spatial tensor fields given either as in (5.1), or by their local components from (5.2). First, we consider the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ given by (cf. [7], p.61)

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) &= X(\bar{g}(Y, Z)) + Y(\bar{g}(Z, X)) - Z(\bar{g}(X, Y)) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}([X, Y], Z) - \bar{g}([Y, Z], X) + \bar{g}([Z, X], Y), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$. Then define the operator

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla : \Gamma(T\bar{M}) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{S}\bar{M}) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{S}\bar{M}), \\ \nabla(X, sY) &= \nabla_X sY = s\bar{\nabla}_X sY, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}),\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

where s is the projection morphism of $T\bar{M}$ on $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$ with respect to (2.12). It is easy to check that ∇ is a metric linear connection on $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$, that is we have

$$(\nabla_X h)(sY, sZ) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}),\tag{5.5}$$

where h is the Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$. We call ∇ the *Riemannian spatial connection* in the 5D universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$.

Taking into account that all the kinematic quantities have been defined by their local components, we need to characterize ∇ by its local coefficients with respect to an adapted frame field. First, we put

$$\begin{aligned}(a) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma}, \quad (b) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} = \Gamma_{\alpha 0}^{\gamma} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} \\ (c) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\alpha 4}^{\gamma} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

Then, take $X = \delta/\delta x^\beta$, $Y = \delta/\delta x^\alpha$ and $Z = \delta/\delta x^\mu$ in (5.3), and by using (5.4), (5.6a), (3.2) and (4.4c), we obtain

$$\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} h^{\gamma\mu} \left\{ \frac{\delta h_{\mu\alpha}}{\delta x^\beta} + \frac{\delta h_{\mu\beta}}{\delta x^\alpha} - \frac{\delta h_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta x^\mu} \right\}.\tag{5.7}$$

Note that formally, $\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}$ look like the Christoffel symbols for a Levi-Civita connection on a three-dimensional manifold, but two main differences should be pointed out:

- (i) In general, $h_{\alpha\beta}$ are functions of all five variables (x^a) ,
- (ii) The usual partial derivatives are replaced here by the operators defined by (2.13).

Throughout the paper, we use $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and $h^{\alpha\beta}$ for lowering and raising Greek indices. As example, for vorticity tensor fields we have

$$\begin{aligned}(a) \quad \omega_{\beta}^{\gamma} &= h^{\gamma\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (b) \quad \omega^{\gamma\mu} = h^{\gamma\alpha} h^{\mu\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta}, \\ (c) \quad \eta_{\beta}^{\gamma} &= h^{\gamma\alpha} \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (d) \quad \eta^{\gamma\mu} = h^{\gamma\alpha} h^{\mu\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

Next, we take $Y = \delta/\delta x^\alpha$, $Z = \delta/\delta x^\mu$, and in turn $X = \delta/\delta x^0$, and $X = \partial/\partial x^4$ in (5.3), and by using (5.4), (5.6b), (5.6c), (3.2), (4.4) and (4.10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad \Gamma_{\alpha \ 0}^{\gamma} &= \Theta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} + \Phi^2 \omega_{\alpha}^{\gamma}, \\
(b) \quad \Gamma_{\alpha \ 4}^{\gamma} &= K_{\alpha}^{\gamma} - \Psi^2 \eta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Now, let T be a spatial tensor field of type (p, q) . Then $\nabla_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{\beta}}} T$ is a spatial tensor of type $(p, q + 1)$, while $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}} T$ and $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}} T$ are spatial tensor fields of the same type (p, q) . In particular take $T = (T_{\alpha}^{\gamma})$ and express these three types of covariant derivatives as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad T_{\alpha|\beta}^{\gamma} &= \frac{\delta T_{\alpha}^{\gamma}}{\delta x^{\beta}} + T_{\alpha}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu \ \beta}^{\gamma} - T_{\mu}^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha \ \beta}^{\mu}, \\
(b) \quad T_{\alpha|0}^{\gamma} &= \frac{\delta T_{\alpha}^{\gamma}}{\delta x^0} + T_{\alpha}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu \ 0}^{\gamma} - T_{\mu}^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha \ 0}^{\mu}, \\
(c) \quad T_{\alpha|4}^{\gamma} &= \frac{\partial T_{\alpha}^{\gamma}}{\partial x^4} + T_{\alpha}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu \ 4}^{\gamma} - T_{\mu}^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha \ 4}^{\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

As ∇ is a metric connection on $\mathcal{S}\bar{M}$, we have:

$$(a) \quad h_{\alpha\beta|a} = 0, \quad (b) \quad h^{\alpha\beta}|_a = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}. \tag{5.11}$$

Finally, by using (5.3), the spatial tensor fields introduced in the previous section, and the local coefficients of the Riemannian spatial connection, we express the Levi-Civita connection of the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + (\omega_{\alpha\beta} + \Phi^{-2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta}) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} \\
&\quad + (\eta_{\alpha\beta} - \Psi^{-2} K_{\alpha\beta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(b) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\gamma}{}_0 \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + (\phi_\alpha - b_\alpha) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\Phi^2 d_\alpha \Psi^{-2} - a_\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(c) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\gamma}{}_4 \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} (\Psi^2 a_\alpha \Phi^{-2} - d_\alpha) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} \\
&\quad + (\psi_\alpha - c_\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(d) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} &= \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\gamma}{}_0 \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + \phi_\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + \frac{1}{2} (\Phi^2 d_\alpha \Psi^{-2} + a_\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(e) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} &= \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\gamma}{}_4 \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} (\Psi^2 a_\alpha \Phi^{-2} + d_\alpha) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + \psi_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(f) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} &= \frac{1}{2} (\Psi^2 a^\gamma - \Phi^2 d^\gamma) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + \phi_4 \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + (\psi_0 - a_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(g) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} &= \frac{1}{2} (\Psi^2 a^\gamma - \Phi^2 d^\gamma) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + \phi_4 \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + \psi_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(h) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} &= \Phi^2 (\phi^\gamma - b^\gamma) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + \phi_0 \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + \Phi^2 \phi_4 \Psi^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \\
(i) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} &= \Psi^2 (c^\gamma - \psi^\gamma) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\gamma} + \Psi^2 (\psi_0 - a_0) \Phi^{-2} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + \psi_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad \phi_0 &= \Phi^{-1} \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta x^0}, & (b) \quad \phi_4 &= \Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^4}, \\
(c) \quad \psi_0 &= \Psi^{-1} \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta x^0}, & (d) \quad \psi_4 &= \Psi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

6 Covariant Derivatives of 4D and 5D Velocities

In this section we show that the covariant derivatives of both the 4D velocity $\xi^\star$ and 5D velocity $\eta^\star$ are completely determined by the kinematic quantities and the spatial tensor fields we introduced in Sections 4 and 5. Also, we compare the results with what is known in the (1+3) threading of the 4D spacetime.

First, by using (3.5) and taking into account that $\bar{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \xi^\star)(Y) &= \bar{g}(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X \xi), \\
(b) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \eta^\star)(Y) &= \bar{g}(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X \eta), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Then, consider the 4D acceleration $\dot{\xi}_a$ and the 5D acceleration $\dot{\eta}_a$, given by

$$(a) \quad \dot{\xi}_a = \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}} \xi^\star \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \right), \quad (b) \quad \dot{\eta}_a = \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}} \eta^\star \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \right). \quad (6.2)$$

Next, by using (3.9) we express the natural frame field $\{\partial/\partial x^a\}$ in terms of the adapted frame field, as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = \delta_a^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} - \Phi^{-2} \xi_a \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} + \Psi^{-2} \eta_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}. \quad (6.3)$$

Then, by using (6.2), (6.1), (6.3), (5.12h) and (5.12i), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \dot{\xi}_a &= \Phi^2 \delta_a^\alpha (\phi_\alpha - b_\alpha) + \phi_0 \xi_a + \phi_4 \Phi^2 \eta_a \Psi^{-2}, \\ (b) \quad \dot{\eta}_a &= \Psi^2 \delta_a^\alpha (c_\alpha - \psi_\alpha) + \Psi^2 (\psi_0 - a_0) \Phi^{-2} \xi_a + \psi_4 \eta_a. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Now, by using (6.1), (6.3), (5.12) and (5.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}} \xi^\star \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right) &= \delta_b^\gamma (\Theta_{\alpha\gamma} + \Phi^2 \omega_{\alpha\gamma}) + \xi_b (b_\alpha - \phi_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \eta_b (a_\alpha - \Phi^2 d_\alpha \Psi^{-2}), \\ (b) \quad \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}} \xi^\star \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} \right) &= -\Phi^2 \delta_b^\gamma \phi_\gamma + \phi_0 \xi_b - \phi_4 \Phi^2 \eta_b \Psi^{-2}, \\ (c) \quad \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}} \xi^\star \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_b^\gamma (\Phi^2 d_\gamma + \Psi^2 a_\gamma) - \phi_4 \xi_b + \\ &\quad + (\psi_0 - a_0) \eta_b, \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}} \eta^\star \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} \right) &= \delta_b^\gamma (K_{\alpha\gamma} - \Psi^2 \eta_{\alpha\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2} \xi_b (d_\alpha - \Psi^2 a_\alpha \Phi^{-2}) \\ &\quad + \eta_b (c_\alpha - \psi_\alpha), \\ (b) \quad \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}} \eta^\star \right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0} \right) &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta_b^\gamma (\Phi^2 d_\gamma + \Psi^2 a_\gamma) + \phi_4 \xi_b \\ &\quad + (a_0 - \psi_0) \eta_b, \\ (c) \quad \left(\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}} \eta^\star \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} \right) &= \Psi^2 \delta_b^\gamma \psi_\gamma - \psi_0 \Psi^2 \eta_b \Phi^{-2} + \psi_4 \eta_b. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Finally, taking into account (6.3)-(6.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_b \xi_a &= -\Phi^{-2} \xi_b \dot{\xi}_a + \delta_a^\alpha \delta_b^\beta (\Theta_{\alpha\beta} + \Phi^2 \omega_{\alpha\beta}) + \xi_a \delta_b^\gamma \phi_\gamma \\
&\quad + \phi_4 \Psi^{-2} \xi_a \eta_b + \Psi^{-2} (\psi_0 - a_0) \eta_a \eta_b + \frac{1}{2} a_\gamma (\eta_a \delta_b^\gamma + \eta_b \delta_a^\gamma) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 d_\gamma \Psi^{-2} (\eta_a \delta_b^\gamma - \eta_b \delta_a^\gamma),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_b \eta_a &= \Psi^{-2} \eta_b \dot{\eta}_a + \delta_a^\alpha \delta_b^\beta (K_{\alpha\beta} - \Psi^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta}) + \eta_a \delta_b^\gamma \psi_\gamma - \psi_0 \Phi^{-2} \eta_a \xi_b \\
&\quad - \phi_4 \Phi^{-2} \xi_a \xi_b + \frac{1}{2} d_\gamma (\xi_a \delta_b^\gamma + \xi_b \delta_a^\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi^2 a_\gamma \Phi^{-2} (\xi_a \delta_b^\gamma - \xi_b \delta_a^\gamma).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Next, we note that each spatial tensor field defines a tensor field of the same type on $\bar{M}$. Here, we give some examples:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\omega}_{ab} &= \delta_a^\alpha \delta_b^\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta}; \quad \bar{\Theta}_{ab} = \delta_a^\alpha \delta_b^\beta \Theta_{\alpha\beta}; \quad \bar{h}_{ab} = \delta_a^\alpha \delta_b^\beta h_{\alpha\beta}; \\
\bar{\sigma}_{ab} &= \delta_a^\alpha \delta_b^\beta \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\Theta}_{ab} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta \bar{h}_{ab}; \quad \bar{\phi}_a = \delta_a^\alpha \phi_\alpha; \quad \text{etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account this transformation process of spatial tensor fields into tensor fields, we express (6.7) and (6.8) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_b \xi_a &= -\Phi^{-2} \xi_b \dot{\xi}_a + \bar{\sigma}_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \Theta \bar{h}_{ab} + \Phi^2 \bar{\omega}_{ab} + \xi_a \bar{\phi}_b + \phi_4 \Psi^{-2} \xi_a \eta_b \\
&\quad + \Psi^{-2} (\psi_0 - a_0) \eta_a \eta_b + \frac{1}{2} (\eta_a \bar{a}_b + \eta_b \bar{a}_a) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 \Psi^{-2} (\eta_a \bar{d}_b - \eta_b \bar{d}_a),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_b \eta_a &= \Psi^{-2} \eta_b \dot{\eta}_a + \bar{H}_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} K \bar{h}_{ab} - \Psi^2 \bar{\eta}_{ab} + \eta_a \bar{\psi}_b - \psi_0 \Phi^{-2} \eta_a \xi_b \\
&\quad - \phi_4 \Phi^{-2} \xi_a \xi_b + \frac{1}{2} (\xi_a \bar{d}_b + \xi_b \bar{d}_a) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi^2 \Phi^{-2} (\xi_a \bar{a}_b - \xi_b \bar{a}_a).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Now, we consider some particular cases. First, suppose that both ξ and η are unit vector fields, that is $\Phi^2 = \Psi^2 = 1$. Then, (6.9) and (6.10) become

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_b \xi_a &= -\xi_b \dot{\xi}_a + \bar{\sigma}_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \Theta \bar{h}_{ab} + \bar{\omega}_{ab} - a_0 \eta_a \eta_b \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \{ \eta_a (\bar{a}_b + \bar{d}_b) + \eta_b (\bar{a}_a - \bar{d}_a) \},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_b \eta_a &= \eta_b \dot{\eta}_a + \bar{H}_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} K \bar{h}_{ab} - \bar{\eta}_{ab} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \{ \xi_a (\bar{d}_b + \bar{a}_b) + \xi_b (\bar{d}_a - \bar{a}_a) \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Remark 6.1 Note that the first four terms in the right hand side of (6.11)

look formally as the ones in the (1+3) threading of a $4D$ spacetime ([1], p.85). The other terms show the contribution of the fifth dimension in the kinematic theory of the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. ■

Finally, suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The distributions $\mathcal{S}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{T}\bar{M}$ and $\mathcal{S}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ are integrable.
- (ii) Both vector fields ξ and η define congruences of geodesics.

By (4.4) we see that condition (i) is equivalent to (4.6) and

$$a_\alpha = d_\alpha = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (6.13)$$

Also, from (5.12h), (5.12i) and (6.4), we deduce that condition (ii) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \phi_\alpha = b_\alpha, \quad \phi_0 = 0, \quad \phi_4 = 0, \quad \dot{\xi}_a = 0, \\ (b) \quad & \psi_\alpha = c_\alpha, \quad \psi_0 = a_0, \quad \psi_4 = 0, \quad \dot{\eta}_a = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Then, by using (4.6), (6.13) and (6.14) into (6.9) and (6.10), we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_b \xi_a = \bar{\sigma}_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \Theta \bar{h}_{ab} + \xi_a \bar{\phi}_b, \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_b \eta_a = \bar{H}_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} K \bar{h}_{ab} + \eta_a \bar{\psi}_b - \psi_0 \Phi^{-2} \eta_a \xi_b. \quad (6.16)$$

It is an interesting (and difficult as well) question, to find solutions for Einstein equations in a $5D$ universe satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii).

7 Raychaudhuri Equations in a $5D$ Universe

As it is well known, the evolution of the expansion in a $4D$ spacetime is governed by Raychaudhuri equation, which also plays an important role in the proof of Penrose-Hawking singularity theorems. So, it is a need for a study of the evolutions of both the $4D$ and $5D$ expansions Θ and K , given by (4.11). Such a study leads us to some equations of Raychaudhuri type, expressing the derivatives of Θ and K with respect to both variables x^0 (time) and x^4 (fifth dimension).

In what it follows, $\bar{R}$ denotes both the curvature tensor fields of the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ of type (0,4) and (1,3), given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad & \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, U) = g(\bar{R}(X, Y, U), Z), \\
(b) \quad & \bar{R}(X, Y, U) = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y U - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X U - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} U,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

for all $X, Y, Z, U \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$. Now, we consider an orthonormal frame field $\{E_k, \Phi^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \Psi^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}\}$, where $\{E_\gamma\}$, $\gamma \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, is an orthonormal basis in $\Gamma(\mathcal{SM})$. Then, we put

$$E_\gamma = E_\gamma^\alpha \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \tag{7.2}$$

and deduce that

$$h^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 E_\gamma^\alpha E_\gamma^\beta. \tag{7.3}$$

By evaluating some local components of the Ricci tensor $\bar{Ric}$ of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, we shall obtain equations of Raychaudhuri type about Θ and K . According to ([7], p.87), and using (7.2) and (7.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Ric}(X, Y) &= h^{\alpha\beta} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, X, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, Y) - \Phi^{-2} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, X, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, Y), \\
&+ \Psi^{-2} \bar{R}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, X, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}).
\end{aligned}$$

For our purpose, we consider only the local components:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad & \bar{R}_{00} = \bar{Ric}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}) = h^{\alpha\beta} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}) \\
&+ \Psi^{-2} \bar{R}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}), \\
(b) \quad & \bar{R}_{44} = \bar{Ric}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) = h^{\alpha\beta} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) \\
&- \Phi^{-2} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}), \\
(c) \quad & \bar{R}_{04} = \bar{Ric}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) = h^{\alpha\beta} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Next, we show in detail the calculations for the right hand side of (7.4a). First, by using the symmetries of $\bar{R}$ and (7.1a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}) &= \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}) \\
&= \bar{g}(\bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}), \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Then, denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the projection morphism of $\Gamma(T\bar{M})$ to $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}\bar{M})$ with respect to (2.12), and by using (5.12), (5.13a) and (4.4a), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad & \mathcal{T}\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}} \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} = \left\{ \frac{\delta \omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta x^0} + \Phi^{-2} \frac{\delta \Theta_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta x^0} + (\phi_\gamma - b_\gamma) \Gamma_\alpha^\gamma{}_\beta \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \phi_0(\omega_{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^{-2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta}) + \phi_4(\eta_{\alpha\beta} - \Psi^{-2} K_{\alpha\beta}) \right\} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \\
(b) \quad & \mathcal{T}\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}} \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} = \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta} (\phi_\alpha - b_\alpha) + (\phi_\alpha - b_\alpha) \phi_\beta + (\omega_{\gamma\beta} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \Phi^{-2} \Theta_{\gamma\beta}) \Gamma_\alpha^\gamma{}_0 + \frac{1}{4} (\Phi^2 d_\alpha \Psi^{-2} - a_\alpha) (\Psi^2 a_\beta \Phi^{-2} + d_\beta) \right\} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \\
(c) \quad & \mathcal{T}\bar{\nabla}_{\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}\right]} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha} = \left\{ (b_\alpha - \phi_\alpha) b_\beta + \frac{1}{2} (d_\alpha - \Psi^2 a_\alpha \Phi^{-2}) a_\beta \right\} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Taking into account (7.1b) and (7.6), and using covariant derivatives induced by the Riemannian spatial connection (see (5.10)), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}\bar{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}\right) &= \left\{ \omega_{\alpha\beta|0} + \Phi^{-2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta|0} + (\omega_{\alpha\gamma} + \Phi^{-2} \Theta_{\alpha\gamma}) \Gamma_\beta^\gamma{}_0 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \phi_0(\omega_{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^{-2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta}) + \phi_4(\eta_{\alpha\beta} - \Psi^{-2} K_{\alpha\beta}) - (\phi_\alpha - b_\alpha)_{|\beta} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (\phi_\alpha - b_\alpha)(\phi_\beta - b_\beta) + \frac{1}{4} (a_\alpha - \Phi^2 d_\alpha \Psi^{-2}) d_\beta \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{3}{4} (d_\alpha - \Psi^2 a_\alpha \Phi^{-2}) a_\beta \right\} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

Now, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad & \omega_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} = \omega^2, \quad (b) \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} = \sigma^2, \\
(c) \quad & \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^2, \quad (d) \quad H_{\alpha\beta} H^{\alpha\beta} = H^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad & \omega_\gamma^\beta \omega_\beta^\gamma = -\omega^2, \quad (b) \quad \Theta_\gamma^\beta \Theta_\beta^\gamma = \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3} \Theta^2, \\
(c) \quad & \eta_\gamma^\beta \eta_\beta^\gamma = -\eta^2, \quad (d) \quad K_\gamma^\beta K_\beta^\gamma = H^2 + \frac{1}{3} K^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

Moreover, by using the symmetries of expansion and vorticity tensor fields, we infer that

$$(a) \quad \Theta_\gamma^\beta \omega_\beta^\gamma = 0, \quad (b) \quad K_\gamma^\beta \eta_\beta^\gamma = 0. \tag{7.10}$$

Contracting (7.5) by $h^{\alpha\beta}$ and using (7.7), (2.11), (5.9a), (5.11b), (7.9a), (7.9b) and (7.10a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{\alpha\beta} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}) &= -\Theta|_0 - \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{3}\Theta^2 - \frac{3}{4}\Psi^2 a^2 + \phi_0 \Theta \\
&+ \Phi^2 \{ \phi_4 \Psi^{-2} K + (\phi^\gamma - b^\gamma)|_\gamma + (\phi^\gamma - b^\gamma)(\phi_\gamma - b_\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} a_\gamma d^\gamma \} \\
&+ \Phi^4 \{ \omega^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Psi^{-2} d^2 \},
\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

where we put

$$\Theta|_0 = \frac{\delta \Theta}{\delta x^0}, \quad a^2 = a_\gamma a^\gamma, \quad d^2 = d_\gamma d^\gamma.$$

Similar calculations as performed for (7.7) lead us to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T} \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) &= \{ \Psi^2 (c^\gamma - \psi^\gamma)(\phi_\gamma - b_\gamma) - \frac{1}{4} \Psi^4 a^2 \Phi^{-2} \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \Phi^2 d^2 - (\phi_4)^2 - \frac{\partial \phi_4}{\partial x^4} + \frac{1}{2} \Psi^2 a_\gamma d^\gamma + \phi_4 \psi_4 + [\Psi^2 (\psi_0 - a_0) \Phi^{-2}]|_0 \\
&+ \Psi^2 (\psi_0 - a_0)(\phi_0 - \psi_0 - a_0) \Phi^{-2} \} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

Then, by using (7.1a), (7.12) and (2.11), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}) &= \Phi^2 \Psi^2 \{ (\phi^\gamma - b^\gamma)(\psi_\gamma - c_\gamma) - \frac{1}{2} a_\gamma d^\gamma \} \\
&+ \Phi^2 \{ (\phi_4)^2 + \frac{\partial \phi_4}{\partial x^4} - \phi_4 \psi_4 \} + \Psi^2 \{ (\psi_0 - a_0)(\phi_0 - \psi_0 + a_0) \\
&- (\psi_0 - a_0)|_0 \} + \frac{1}{4} \{ \Phi^4 d^2 + \Psi^4 a^2 \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

Finally, by using (7.11) and (7.13) into (7.4a), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta|_0 &= -\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{3}\Theta^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Psi^2 a^2 + \phi_0 \Theta - (\psi_0 - a_0)|_0 \\
&+ (\psi_0 - a_0)(\phi_0 - \psi_0 + a_0) + \Phi^2 \{ \phi_4 \Psi^{-2} K + (\phi^\gamma - b^\gamma)|_\gamma \\
&+ (\phi_\gamma - b_\gamma)(\phi^\gamma - b^\gamma - c^\gamma + \psi^\gamma) + \Phi^2 (\omega^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Psi^{-2} d^2) \\
&+ \Psi^{-2} \left((\phi_4)^2 + \frac{\partial \phi_4}{\partial x^4} - \phi_4 \psi_4 \right) \} - \bar{R}_{00}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

We call (7.14) the *4D Raychaudhuri equation* for the 4D expansion Θ of the 5D universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$.

By similar calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) &= \bar{g}(\bar{R}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) \\
&= \Psi^2 \left\{ \eta_{\alpha\beta|4} - \Psi^{-2} K_{\alpha\beta|4} - (\psi_\alpha - c_\alpha)_{|\beta} + (\eta_{\alpha\gamma} - \Psi^{-2} K_{\alpha\gamma}) \Gamma_{\beta 4}^\gamma \right. \\
&\quad + (\omega_{\alpha\beta} + \Phi^{-2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta})(\psi_0 - a_0) + (\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \Psi^{-2} K_{\alpha\beta}) \psi_4 + \frac{3}{4} (\Phi^2 d_\alpha \Psi^{-2} \\
&\quad \left. - a_\alpha) d_\beta + \frac{1}{4} (d_\alpha - \Psi^2 a_\alpha \Phi^{-2}) a_\beta - (\psi_\alpha - c_\alpha)(\psi_\beta - c_\beta) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

Then, by using (7.15) and (7.13) into (7.4b), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{|4} &= -H^2 - \frac{1}{3} K^2 + \Psi^4 \eta^2 - \Psi^2 \{ (\psi^\gamma - c^\gamma)_{|\gamma} + (\psi^\gamma - c^\gamma)(\phi_\gamma \\
&\quad - b_\gamma + \psi_\gamma - c_\gamma) \} + \Psi^2 \Phi^{-2} \{ (\psi_0 - a_0)_{|0} + (\psi_0 - a_0)(\psi_0 - \phi_0 \\
&\quad - a_0 + \Theta) - \frac{1}{2} \Psi^2 a^2 \} + \phi_4 K + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 d^2 - (\phi_4)^2 - \frac{\partial \phi_4}{\partial x^4} \\
&\quad + \phi_4 \psi_4 - \bar{R}_{44},
\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

where we put

$$K_{|4} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial x^4}.$$

We call (7.16) the *5D Raychaudhuri equation* for the *5D* expansion K of the *5D* universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$.

Finally, we shall state some Raychaudhuri equations which involve

$$\Theta_{|4} = \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial x^4}, \quad \text{and} \quad K_{|0} = \frac{\delta K}{\delta x^0}.$$

First, by using (7.1), (5.12), (4.4a) and (2.3), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) &= \bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}) \\
&= \bar{g}(\bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) = \Psi^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta|0} - K_{\alpha\beta|0} + \frac{1}{2} (a_\alpha \\
&\quad - \Phi^2 d_\alpha \Psi^{-2})_{|\beta} \Psi^2 + (\Psi^2 \eta_{\alpha\gamma} - K_{\alpha\gamma}) \Gamma_{\beta 0}^\gamma + \Psi^2 (\psi_\alpha - c_\alpha) a_\beta \\
&\quad + (\Psi^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} + K_{\alpha\beta}) \psi_0 - \frac{1}{2} (\phi_\alpha - b_\alpha) (\Phi^2 d_\beta + \Psi^2 a_\beta) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\Phi^2 d_\alpha - \Psi^2 a_\alpha) (\psi_\beta - b_\beta) + (\Phi^2 \omega_{\alpha\beta} + \theta_{\alpha\beta}) \phi_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

Contracting (7.17) by $h^{\alpha\beta}$, and using (7.4c), (4.11), (5.9a) and (5.11b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
K|_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(a^\gamma - \Phi^2 d^\gamma \Psi^{-2})|_\gamma \Psi^2 - K_{\alpha\beta} \Theta^{\alpha\beta} + \psi_0 K + \phi_4 \Theta \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 d_\gamma (\phi^\gamma + \psi^\gamma - 2b^\gamma) + \Psi^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a_\gamma (3\psi^\gamma - \phi^\gamma - 2c^\gamma) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Phi^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \right\} - \bar{R}_{04}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

On the other hand, we calculate $\bar{R}$ from (7.4c) by using (7.1), (5.12), (4.4b) and (2.11), and deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}) &= \bar{R}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}) \\
&= \bar{g}(\bar{R}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\alpha}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\beta}), \frac{\delta}{\delta x^0}) = -\Theta_{\alpha\beta|4} + \Phi^2 \omega_{\alpha\beta|4} - \frac{1}{2}(d_\beta \\
&\quad - \Psi^2 a_\beta \Phi^{-2})|_\alpha \Phi^2 + (\Phi^2 \omega_{\gamma\beta} - \theta_{\gamma\beta}) \Gamma_{\alpha 4}^\gamma + (\Phi^2 \omega_{\alpha\beta} + \theta_{\alpha\beta}) \phi_4 \\
&\quad + \Psi^2 (\psi_0 - a_0) (\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \Psi^{-2} K_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} \phi_\alpha (\Psi^2 a_\beta - \Phi^2 d_\beta) + \frac{1}{2} (\Psi^2 a_\alpha \\
&\quad + \Phi^2 d_\alpha) (\psi_\beta - c_\beta) + \Phi^2 d_\alpha (b_\beta - \phi_\beta) + \frac{1}{2} c_\alpha (\Phi^2 d_\beta - \Psi^2 a_\beta).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

Then, by using (7.19) into (7.4c), and taking into account (4.11), (5.11b) and (5.9b), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta|_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(\Psi^2 a^\gamma \Phi^{-2} - d^\gamma)|_\gamma \Phi^2 - K_{\alpha\beta} \Theta^{\alpha\beta} + (\psi_0 - a_0) K + \phi_4 \Theta \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 d_\gamma (3\phi^\gamma - \psi^\gamma - 2b^\gamma) + \Psi^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a_\gamma (\phi^\gamma + \psi^\gamma - 2c^\gamma) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Phi^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \right\} - \bar{R}_{04}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

By elementary calculations using (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad &(\Psi^2 a^\gamma \Phi^{-2} - d^\gamma)|_\gamma \Phi^2 = (\Psi^2 a^\gamma - \Phi^2 d^\gamma)|_\gamma \\
&\quad + 2\phi_\gamma (\Phi^2 d^\gamma - \Psi^2 a^\gamma), \\
(b) \quad &(a^\gamma - \Phi^2 d^\gamma \Psi^{-2})|_\gamma \Psi^2 = (\Psi^2 a^\gamma - \Phi^2 d^\gamma)|_\gamma \\
&\quad + 2\psi_\gamma (\Phi^2 d^\gamma - \Psi^2 a^\gamma).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

Finally, by using (7.21a) into (7.20), and (7.21b) into (7.18), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta|_4 &= K|_0 - a_0 K = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi^2 a^\gamma - \Phi^2 d^\gamma)|_\gamma - K_{\alpha\beta} \Theta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^2 \Psi^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad + (\psi_0 - a_0) K + \phi_4 \Theta + \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 (\Psi^\gamma - \phi^\gamma + 2b^\gamma) d_\gamma \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Psi^2 (\psi^\gamma - \phi^\gamma - 2c^\gamma) a_\gamma - \bar{R}_{04}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

We call (7.22) the *mixed Raychaudhuri equation* in the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$.

The classical Raychaudhuri equation in a $4D$ spacetime has been generalized in several directions. We recall here two of such generalizations. First, in [8] there were stated Raychaudhuri equations for single and two non-normalized vector fields in a $4D$ spacetime. Our Raychaudhuri equations are also with respect to two non-normalized vector fields, but in a $5D$ universe. Then, in [9] there have been obtained generalizations of Raychaudhuri equation for the evolution of deformations of a relativistic membrane of arbitrary dimension in an arbitrary background spacetime. The approach we developed in this paper is totally different from the one presented in [9].

Note that the above Raychaudhuri equations have been obtained in the most general $5D$ universe. In particular, suppose that the lift of $\partial/\partial x^0$ from the $4D$ spacetime to the $5D$ universe is orthogonal to $\partial/\partial x^4$. Then, by (2.9b) and (2.10) we deduce that $A_0 = 0$, which implies

$$\delta/\delta x^0 = \partial/\partial x^0, \quad (7.23)$$

via (2.7). Now, each point P of $\bar{M}$ can be considered as intersection point of an integral curve of $\partial/\partial x^0$ on which we choose x^0 as parameter, with an integral curve of $\partial/\partial x^4$ parametrized by x^4 . Since in this case $a_0 = 0$ (see (3.1b)), from (7.22) and (7.23) we deduce that

$$\frac{d\Theta}{dx^4} = \frac{dK}{dx^0}, \quad (7.24)$$

at any point $P \in \bar{M}$. Thus, *the rate of change of the $4D$ expansion Θ in the direction of the fifth dimension, is equal to the rate of change of the $5D$ expansion K in the time direction.*

Finally, we prove the following important results for the kinematic theory of a $5D$ universe.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be a $5D$ universe satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) *The distribution $\mathcal{S}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ is integrable.*
- (b) *$\xi = \delta/\delta x^0$ is given by (7.23), and define a congruence of geodesics.*
- (c) *$\bar{R}_{00} \geq 0$, and $\psi_{0|0} \geq 0$ on $\bar{M}$.*

If the $4D$ expansion Θ takes the negative value Θ_0 at a point of a geodesic in the congruence, then Θ goes to $-\infty$ along that geodesic and the proper time coordinate x^0 satisfies $x^0 \leq -3/\Theta_0$.

Proof. Taking into account (4.4b) and (4.4c), the condition (a) is equivalent to

$$d_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (7.25)$$

Then, note that condition (b) implies $A_0 = 0$ and (6.14a). Taking into account that $a_0 = 0$ (cf.(3.1b)), and using (7.25) and (6.14a) into the $4D$ Raychaudhuri equation (7.14), we obtain

$$\Theta_{|0} = -\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{3}\Theta^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Psi^2 a^2 - (\psi_0)^2 - \psi_{0|0} - \bar{R}_{00}. \quad (7.26)$$

Finally, by condition (c) we see that (7.26) implies

$$\Theta_{|0} + \frac{1}{3}\Theta^2 \leq 0, \quad (7.27)$$

along any geodesic C that is tangent to ξ . Choose x^0 as parameter on C and by (7.23), we express (7.27) as follows

$$\frac{d\Theta}{dx^0} + \frac{1}{3}\Theta^2(x^0) \leq 0, \quad (7.28)$$

along C . By using (7.28), and the same reason as in the kinematic theory of a $4D$ spacetime (see the proof of Lemma 9.2.1 in [10]), we obtain the assertion of the theorem. ■

Remark 7.1 The conditions (7.23) and $\psi_{0|0} \geq 0$ are new conditions comparing with a similar result in a $4D$ spacetime. We note that (7.23) is equivalent to $\bar{g}_{04} = 0$, which is satisfied by all the $5D$ models from both the brane-world theory [4] and the space-time-matter theory [5]. Also, $\psi_{0|0} = 0$ for any $5D$ universe in which η is a unit vector field. ■

Theorem 7.2. *Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be a $5D$ universe satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) *The distributions $\mathcal{S}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{V}\bar{M}$ and $\mathcal{S}\bar{M} \oplus \mathcal{T}\bar{M}$ are integrable.*
- (b) *Both vector fields ξ and η define congruences of geodesics.*
- (c) *$\bar{R}_{44} \geq 0$, on $\bar{M}$.*

If the $5D$ expansion K takes the negative value K_0 at a point of a geodesic determined by η , then K goes to $-\infty$ along that geodesic and the fifth coordinate x^4 satisfies $x^4 \leq -3/K_0$.

Proof. The condition (a) is equivalent to (7.25) and

$$a_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (7.29)$$

via (4.4a) and (4.4c). Also, note that condition (b) is equivalent to (6.14). Then, by using (7.25), (7.29) and (6.14) into the $5D$ Raychaudhuri equation (7.16), we obtain

$$K_{|4} = -H^2 - \frac{1}{3}K^2 - \bar{R}_{44}. \quad (7.30)$$

The condition (c) and (7.30) imply

$$K_{|4} + \frac{1}{3}K^2 \leq 0, \quad (7.31)$$

on any geodesic C defined by η . Consider x^4 as parameter on C and by using (7.31) and the same reason as in Theorem 7.1, we obtain the assertion of the theorem. ■

The Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 might be useful in an attempt to prove singularity theorems for a $5D$ universe. Also, the three types of Raychaudhuri equations (7.14), (7.16) and (7.22) might have an important role in a study of the evolution of a concrete $5D$ universe.

8 Conclusions

In the present paper, for the first time in literature, we develop a kinematic theory in a $5D$ universe. The main tools in our approach are the spatial tensor fields and the Riemannian connection defined on the spatial distribution. It is worth mentioning that all the kinematic quantities (acceleration, expansion, shear and vorticity) are defined as spatial tensor fields, and therefore they should be considered as $3D$ geometric objects in a $5D$ universe.

Now, we stress on the novelty brought by our paper into the study of a $5D$ universe. First, we mention the (1+1+3) splitting determined by the two vector fields ξ and η , which has an important role in relating geometry and physics to the observations. In this way, the $5D$ universe is filled up by nets determined by integral curves of both ξ and η . So, apart from the $4D$ kinematic quantities related to ξ , should be taken into consideration those determined by the assumption on the existence of the fifth dimension. Also, it is important to mention the three types of Raychaudhuri equations, which we obtained in the $5D$ universe $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. They describe the evolution of both the $4D$ expansion and $5D$ expansion along the two congruences determined

by ξ and η . The Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 prove the existence of the singularities in both expansions Θ and K . Actually, these theorems state that caustics will develop in both congruences if convergence occurs anywhere. Taking into account the methods used to prove the Penrose-Hawking singularity theorems in a $4D$ spacetime, we think that such theorems might play an important role in a proof of the existence of singularities in a $5D$ universe.

Finally, we should mention that our study is mainly developed on the mathematical part of the kinematic theory in a $5D$ universe. This must be followed by detailed studies which might bring new insights on the $4D$ physics in the presence of the fifth dimension.

References

- [1] Ellis G F R, Maartens R and MacCallum M A H 2012 *Relativistic Cosmology* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- [2] Bini D, Carini P and Jantzen R T 1995 Class.Quantum Grav. **12**, 2549; Maartens R and Basset B A 1998 Class. Quantum Grav. **15** 705; Mashhoon B, McClune J C and Quevedo H 1999 Class. Quantum Grav. **16** 1137
- [3] Ellis G F R, van Elst H and Maartens R 2001 Class. Quantum Grav. **18** 5115; Hawking S W 1966 Astrophys. J. **145** 544; Maartens R 1997 Phys. Rev. D **55** 463
- [4] Maartens R and Koyama K 2010 Living Rev.Relativity **13** 5; Langlois D 2002 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **148** 181
- [5] Overduin J M and Wesson P S 1997 Phys. Rep. **283** 303; Wesson P S 1999 *Space-Time-Matter. Modern Kaluza-Klein Theory* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [6] Bejancu A and Farran H R 2006 *Foliations and Geometric Structures* (Dordrecht:Springer)
- [7] O'Neill B 1983 *Semi- Riemannian Geometry and Applications to Relativity* (New York:Academic Press)
- [8] Abreu G and Visser M 2011 Phys. Rev. D **83** 104016
- [9] Capovilla R and Guven J 1995 Phys. Rev. D **52** 1072

- [10] Wald R M 1984 *General Relativity* (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press)